Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A <u>computer-implemented</u> method of managing a project, comprising steps of:

providing a set of templates, each of the templates corresponding to respective tasks of the project to be performed;

inputting a plurality of priorities for the project;

performing steps of the project in accordance with the templates; and

recording information specified in the templates; and

automatically and periodically evaluating the progress of the project, using the input priorities.

- 2. (Cancelled)
- 3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein substantially all of the project is performed using the templates.
 - 4. (Cancelled)
- 5. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the project includes a step of implementing an information technology project within an organization.
 - 6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the performing step includes a step of designing a product; and

one or more of the templates is populated with design information, in advance of performing the designing step.

- 7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of providing a set of templates comprises a step of providing templates pre-populated with information for use in the project.
- 8. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein one or more of the templates includes information specifying a confidence factor for a respective activity for the template.
 - 9. (Cancelled)
- 10. (Currently amended) A <u>computer-implemented</u> method of implementing a project, comprising steps of:

generating a set of electronic templates, each template corresponding to a respective task for the project;

retrieving respective templates in conjunction with performance of the respective tasks; using the templates to manage the project;

recording information in the templates during the project; and automatically adjusting a set of default templates in response to the recorded information.

11.-13. (Cancelled)

- 14. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of: providing question-and-answer prompts to assist in completing one or more tasks.
- 15. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the using step comprises a step of: automatically suggesting content for one or more deliverables identified in at least one of the templates.
 - 16. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of:

assigning a confidence factor to each of a plurality of the tasks.

- 17. (Original) The method of claim 16, wherein the using step comprises a step of: modifying one of the confidence factors during performance of its respective task.
- 18. (Original) The method of claim 16, further comprising a step of: determining an aggregated confidence factor, based on confidence factors of a plurality of the tasks.
 - 19. (Original) The method of claim 16, wherein the using step comprises steps of: retrieving information for a group of the tasks; and identifying areas of risk for the project, based on the retrieved information.
 - 20. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of: identifying proof points for a plurality of the tasks.
 - 21. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of: assigning dependency links among the tasks.
- 22. (Original) The method of claim 21, wherein the using step comprises a step of: automatically updating templates based on changes to information in tasks that are identified in the dependency links.
- 23. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the dependency link identifies a successor task.
- 24. (Original) The method of claim 22, wherein the dependency link identifies a predecessor task.
 - 25. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of:

identifying success factors for each of a plurality of the tasks.

- 26. (Original) The method of claim 25, further comprising a step of evaluating the success factors for each of a plurality of the tasks.
- 27. (Original) The method of claim 25, further comprising a step of automatically evaluating a success factor for a task, in response to submission of a template corresponding to the task.
 - 28. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the using step comprises a step of: electronically recording feedback information for future projects.
 - 29. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of: linking feedback information to one or more of the templates.
 - 30. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of: linking respective reference material to each of a plurality of the templates.
 - 31. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein the generating step comprises a step of: assigning a respective automated alert criterion to each of a plurality of the tasks.
- 32. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein each of the templates has the same format.
- 33. (Currently amended) A <u>computer-implemented</u> system for managing a project, the system comprising:

means for electronically generating templates, each of the generated templates corresponding to one of more project tasks and including information about performance of the respective task or tasks;

means for specifying dependencies among the templates; and

means for updating the templates during the project.

a priorities module to monitor compliance with a set of priorities for the project;

a feasibility module to monitor whether a project may still be successfully completed;

<u>and</u>

a maintenance module to maintain the templates during performance of the project.

- 34. (New) The method of claim 1, further comprising a step of:
- in response to the evaluating step, automatically determining that a previously completed task needs to be performed again.
 - 35. (New) The method of claim 1, further comprising a step of: in response to the evaluating step, altering the input priorities.
 - 36. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein

at least one of the templates includes success factors and an alert condition based on the success factors; and

an evaluating step is performed in response to identification of the alert condition.

- 37. (New) The method of claim 36, further comprising:
- automatically evaluating the success factors in response to submission of a template as being completed.
- 38. (New) A computer-implemented method of implementing a project, comprising steps of:

generating a set of electronic templates, each template corresponding to a respective task for the project, a plurality of the templates including independently verifiable proof points;

retrieving respective templates in conjunction with performance of the respective tasks; using the templates to manage the project; and

verifying at least one respective proof point on submission of a template as having been completed.